

Background

- In ER+ breast cancer models, Aurora A kinase (AURKA) activation is associated with expansion of CD44+/CD24^{low/-} tumor initiating cells, down-regulation of ER and endocrine therapy resistance. Alisertib, a selective AURKA inhibitor, can restore ER expression and endocrine sensitivity.
- In TBCRC041, a randomized phase 2 trial of alisertib \pm fulvestrant in women with HR+/HER2- MBC demonstrated promising clinical activity for alisertib in patients with endocrineand CDK 4/6 inhibitor-resistant MBC (JAMA Oncol. 2023;9:815).
- Here, we explore the association of cfDNA and CTCs with progression free survival in pretreatment baseline and end of cycle 1 (EOC1) biospecimens from TBCRC041 participants.

Methods

- Plasma cfDNA was sequenced using the Guardant INFINITY platform, which includes genomic and epigenomic analysis, reporting out genomic alterations and methylation tumor fraction (mTF). Pathogenic variants were determined using publicly available databases and Mayo Clinic annotation pipelines.
- CTCs were identified as nucleated, EpCAM+/ cytokeratin+/ CD45- cells and assessed for ER/HER2 staining (RareCyte, Seattle)
- PFS was defined as the time from randomization to progression or death.



Age 18-39 40-59 60-74 Black/A **ECOG Perf** Any prior Metastat Positive Borderli Insufficient tiss

Median of 4 CTCs, 25th-75th IQR 0-36, Range of 0 – 83,298 in baseline samples.

Median of 2 CTCs 25th-75th IQR 0-6, Range of 0 – 104,292 in EOC1 samples.

Molecular profiling of serial liquid biopsy specimens utilizing cell free DNA and circulating tumor cells in TBCRC041: A phase II study of alisertib in endocrine resistant metastatic breast cancer

Karthik V Giridhar¹, Vera J Suman¹, Xiaojia Tang¹, Hong Ye¹, Jordan Campbell¹, Erica L Mayer², Meghan Karuturi³, Aki Morikawa⁴, Gretchen Kimmick⁵, Claudine J Isaacs⁶, Sun Young Oh⁷, Amy S Clark⁸, Ben Park⁹, Leslie Bucheit¹⁰, Jill Tsai¹⁰, James N Ingle¹, Matthew P Goetz¹, Antonino B D'Assoro¹, Krishna R Kalari¹, Tufia C Haddad¹ on behalf of the Translational Breast Cancer Research Consortium

1. Mayo Clinic, Rochester MN 2. Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 3. MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 4. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 5. Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 6. Georgetown University, Washington, DC 7. Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY 8. University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA; 9. Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 10. Guardant Health, Redwood City, CA

Demographics

Table 1. Patient Characteristics					
	Arm 1 Alisertib (n=42)	Arm 2 Alisertib + Fulvestrant (n=38)			
ər	3 (7.1%) 12 (28.6%) 23 (54.8%) 4 (9.5%)	4 (10.5%) 17 (44.7%) 15 (39.5%) 2 (5.3%)			
ican American ted	37 (88.1%) 3 (7.1%) 1 (2.4%) 1 (2.4%)	34 (89.5%) 2 (5.3%) 0 2 (5.3%)			
hnicity ormance Status	1 (2.3%) 28 (66.7%) 14 (33.3%) 0	4 (10.5%) 21 (55.3%) 16 (42.1%) 1 (2.6%)			
nemotherapy uvant setting ic setting	25 (56.8%) 21 (47.7%)	24 (57.1%) 28 (66.7%)			
	23 (54.8%) 7 (16.7%) 12 (28.6%)	12 (31.6%) 15 (39.5%) 11 (28.9%)			
ndocrine therapy uvant setting ic setting	27 (64.3%) 42 (100%)	24 (63.1%) 38 (100%)			
y	9 (21.4%) 33 (78.6%)	8 (21.1%) 30 (78.9%)			
umor ERα expression ≥ 10%) e (1 - 9.9%)	30 (71.4%) 3 (7.1%) 4 (9.5%)	28 (73.7%) 3 (7.9%) 2 (5.3%)			
	5 (7.1%) 4 (9.5%) 5 (11.9%)	2 (5.3%) 5 (13.2%)			

Circulating tumor cells (CTCs)

Table 3. Change in CTCs after treatment exposure.

			EOC1 CTC count (per 7.5 mL)			
		Arm 1 (n=36)		Arm 2 (n=34)		
		0 – 4	5 or more	0 – 4	5 or more	
Baseline CTC	0-4	16 (44.4%)	1 (2.8%)	19 (55.8%)	0	
(per 7.5 mL)	5 or more	10 (27.8%)	9 (25.0%)	7 (20.6%)	8 (23.5%)	

Figure 3: Baseline elevated CTCs was adversely associated with PFS (A). High CTCs at EOC1 associated with decreased PFS (B), though not statistically significant (p=0.53).





Baseline landscape of somatic genetic alterations in ctDNA



Methylated tumor fraction percentage in ctDNA (mTF%)

- Most patients had detectable ctDNA (78/80, 98%)
- ESR1, PIK3CA, and TP53 were the most commonly altered genes

High frequency of coamplification of CDC73, *KDM5B* (1q31.2) and MDM4 (1q31.2) was observed.

• Fusions were detected in ESR1, FGFR1, and NRG1.

EOC1 methylation percentage							
Arm 1 (n=36 pairs)			Arm 2 (n=33 pairs)				
) – 1.0%	1.1-5.0%	5.1-10%	≥ 10%	0 – 1.0%	1.1-5.0%	5.1-10%	≥ 10%
10 (27.8%)	1 (2.8%)	0	0	7 (21.2%)	1 (3.0%)	1 (3.0%)	
3 (8.3%)	2 (5.6%)	1 (2.8%)	0	3 (9.1%)	2 (6.1%)	0	1 (3.0%)
2 (5.6%)	2 (5.6%)	0	1 (2.8%)	2 (6.1%)	2 (6.1%)	0	1 (3.0%)
1 (2.8%)	5 (13.9%)	1 (2.8%)	7 (19.4%)	0	5 (15.2%)	2 (6.1%)	6 (18.2%)

Table 4. Change in mTF after treatment exposure.

- The median mTF% at baseline was (7.1%), IQR 0.9 – 21.5%, range 0 to 92.4%.
- The median mTF% at EOC1 was (1.3%), IQR 0.2 – 6.3%, range 0 to 56.5%.

CNV SNV Indel Fusion

Baseline Somatic Variants (SNV and CNVs)

MAYO

CLINIC

Table 2. Association of baseline somatic variants (SNV and CNVs) with PFS in specific genes of interest.

	percent positive	results of conditional Cox model for progression-free survival			
	(11-00)	events	HR (95%CI)	p-value	
ESR1	45 (56.3%)	67	1.14 (0.70 – 1.87)	0.5944	
РІКЗСА	39 (48.8%)	67	1.76 (1.08 – 2.85)	0.0225	
PIK3CA +/- AKT1 +/- pTEN**	48 (60.0%)	67	2.08 (1.26 – 3.45)	0.0045	
AKT1 pTEN	9 (11.3%) 13 (16.3%)				

*Among the 48 patients with a PIK3CA +/- AKT1 +/- pTEN mutation, only 9 did not have a PIK3CA nutation (4 had an AKT1 nutation and 5 a pTEN utation). Univariate PFS nalysis was performed for cohorts >10.

Figure 2. Baseline *PIK3CA* alteration was associated with shorter PFS with alisertib (A), while baseline ESR1 alterations did not impact PFS (B).

Conclusions

- Among patients receiving alisertib \pm fulvestrant, PFS varied based on the pretreatment PIK3CA mutation status.
- CTC enumeration and methylated tumor fraction in ctDNA provided complementary prognostic information. Baseline elevation of CTCs and mTF of <1% at EOC1 were associated with significant differences in PFS.
- Further analysis in ctDNA and CTCs to identify predictors of alisertib response is ongoing.
- Further development and evaluation of alisertib in ER+/HER2- metastatic breast cancer is planned (NCT06369285).

Acknowledgements

• We are grateful to all the patients who generously volunteered to participate in this study. • We thank the TBCRC investigators, research nurses, & study coordinators for their efforts. We thank the sponsors of this study, Takeda Oncology and Puma Biotechnology.

- We appreciate the funding support provided to the TBCRC by its foundation partners: The Breast Cancer Research Foundation and Susan G. Komen
- We appreciate the funding support from the R01 CA214893 (TCH and ABD), Grand Forks Endowed Clinical Cancer Research Career Development Award (KVG), Prospect Creek Foundation (TCH and ABD).